

Scs system links copper and redox homeostasis in bacterial pathogens

Received for publication, August 11, 2023, and in revised form, January 9, 2024. Published, Papers in Press, February 1, 2024.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.105710>

Andrea A. E. Méndez¹, José M. Argüello², Fernando C. Soncini¹, and Susana K. Checa^{1,*}

From the ¹Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Rosario, Facultad de Ciencias Bioquímicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Rosario, Argentina; ²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

Reviewed by members of the JBC Editorial Board. Edited by Joan B. Broderick

The bacterial envelope is an essential compartment involved in metabolism and metabolites transport, virulence, and stress defense. Its roles become more evident when homeostasis is challenged during host–pathogen interactions. In particular, the presence of free radical groups and excess copper in the periplasm causes noxious reactions, such as sulfhydryl group oxidation leading to enzymatic inactivation and protein denaturation. In response to this, canonical and accessory oxidoreductase systems are induced, performing quality control of thiol groups, and therefore contributing to restoring homeostasis and preserving survival under these conditions. Here, we examine recent advances in the characterization of the Dsb-like, *Salmonella*-specific Scs system. This system includes the ScsC/ScsB pair of Cu⁺-binding proteins with thiol-oxidoreductase activity, an alternative ScsB-partner, the membrane-linked ScsD, and a likely associated protein, ScsA, with a role in peroxide resistance. We discuss the acquisition of the *scsABCD* locus and its integration into a global regulatory pathway directing envelope response to Cu stress during the evolution of pathogens that also harbor the canonical Dsb systems. The evidence suggests that the canonical Dsb systems cannot satisfy the extra demands that the host-pathogen interface imposes to preserve functional thiol groups. This resulted in the acquisition of the Scs system by *Salmonella*. We propose that the ScsABCD complex evolved to connect Cu and redox stress responses in this pathogen as well as in other bacterial pathogens.

The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria is where the uptake of nutrients and efflux of unnecessary or toxic species occur. It is also the first barrier against multiple external stressors. Several physiological processes such as energy generation and cell division depend on its integrity (1). The bacterial envelope is composed of two proteolipid bilayers: the inner membrane (IM) enclosing the cytoplasm and the outer membrane (OM) with lipopolysaccharide exposed to the external milieu. Between these two membranes is the periplasm, a protein-rich compartment that harbors a peptidoglycan layer (2). Many bacterial virulence factors reside in this

compartment or depend on envelope-located systems for their secretion (3, 4). Thus, preserving its homeostasis is critical for virulence.

Pathogens are exposed to host-generated reactive oxygen and/or nitrogen species (ROS and RNS, respectively), key components of the innate immune system (5–7) (Fig. 1). ROS and RNS are also produced as common inflammation by-products in mammalian tissues (8). Two enzymes, the membrane-associated NADPH-dependent oxidase (Phox) and the inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), catalyze the conversion of O₂ into superoxide (O₂^{•-}) and the oxidation of L-arginine to L-citrulline and nitric oxide (NO), respectively, in the host (9). The reactive O₂^{•-} is rapidly converted into hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and hydroxyl radicals (HO·) within the bacterial cell. At the same time, NO reacts with O₂^{•-} and H₂O₂ to produce a variety of RNS such as peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻), perhaps the most potent non-specific biocidal agent known (10, 11). These species react with bacterial lipids and proteins, affecting the synthesis and translocation of major envelope structural components as peptidoglycans, lipopolysaccharides, and lipoproteins (12–14). This causes a rapid metabolic breakdown that eventually results in cell death.

Periplasmic enzymes such as Cu-Zn superoxide dismutases (SodC), thiol oxidoreductases of the Dsb family that repair cysteine (Cys) residues, and proteases that degrade damaged proteins, are the first mechanisms used by Gram-negative bacteria to counteract oxidative stress (12, 15–19). Furthermore, some pathogens like *Salmonella enterica* and *Proteus mirabilis* are adapted to survive in oxidative environments, and this was accomplished by acquiring additional gene products that strengthen their response capabilities (see below). The CpxR/CpxA two-component system, together with other transcriptional regulators, coordinates cell envelope redox stress response (4, 20, 21). Instead of sensing ROS/RNS directly, these regulatory systems detect the damage caused by the reactive species. For instance, activation of the CpxR/A pathway depends on a Cys-rich sensor whose folding and/or insertion into the membrane is altered by redox stress (22).

Copper ions are required as a prosthetic group in various essential enzymes, but can also be potentially cytotoxic. For simplicity, we will refer to copper mainly as Cu⁺, as it is the

* For correspondence: Susana K. Checa, checa@ibr-conicet.gov.ar.




Figure 1. Handling of copper and redox stress in *Salmonella* envelope. Cu ions, ROS, and RNS are part of the host's defense against this pathogen. Cu-transporters and chaperones are depicted in different tones of blue, cuproproteins in orange, and redox enzymes in green. The regulatory systems (in red) and their regulatory networks are shown. The flux, generation, and chemical transformation of ROS/RNS are indicated with arrows. Arrows with question marks represent the influx and efflux of Cu ions carried out by uncharacterized transporters. Inactivation of proteins with Cys residues involves not only Cu(I) but also ROS and RNS.

oxidation state being bound, transported, and distributed across the cell. Mechanisms of Cu⁺ toxicity include: (i) participation in Fenton-like reactions with ROS, (ii) displacement of redox-active Fe ions from Fe-S centers, (iii) binding to non-cognate sites on macromolecules, (iv) oxidation of sulfhydryl groups, particularly in the periplasm of Gram-negative bacteria (v) and protein aggregation (23–28) (Fig. 1). Periplasmic Cu⁺ overload also affects key processes such as folding and transit of lipoproteins to the OM or amino acid uptake (29, 30). In fact, Cu⁺ is a component of the innate immune system against bacterial pathogens (31–33). Phagocytic cells actively accumulate Cu⁺ in endocytic vesicles used to intoxicate intracellular pathogens (34). Cu⁺ is also mobilized into the bladder to restrict colonization by uropathogens such as *Escherichia coli* or *P. mirabilis* (35, 36). Furthermore, exposure of uropathogenic *E. coli* to sub-lethal Cu⁺ concentrations led to increased expression of the periplasmic SodC and cytoplasmic SodA enzymes, likely counteracting the metal-generated oxidative stress (37).

Although the connection of Cu⁺ dyshomeostasis with redox stress is well known (25, 33, 38, 39), it is still unclear how the cell envelope handles and distributes this redox active metal while maintaining the required redox balance (40, 41). Defense against Cu⁺ excess in Gram-negative species relies on the Cu⁺ sensing cytoplasmic CueR regulator and the periplasmic-sensing two-component systems (CusR/S, CopR/S, CpxR/A) that control the expression of membrane Cu⁺ transporters, metal chaperones, oxidases, and species-specific Dsb-like proteins such as the ScsABCD system (32, 39, 40). In particular, *Salmonella* ScsABCD is required for resistance to both Cu⁺ and H₂O₂ stress, two common stressors this pathogen handles during the infection cycle (38, 42). Expression of this non-canonical Dsb system is induced by periplasmic Cu overload via activation of CpxR/A (38). Recent advances in the biochemical characterization of the ScsC/ScsB pair of thiol-oxidoreductases and identification of likely periplasmic

substrates, together with a better definition of the role of associated proteins, ScsA and ScsD, support a revision of the evidence informing future studies of these proteins. In addition, we also discuss here the acquisition of this genetic locus by other Gram-negative pathogens and its putative integration into the CpxR/A regulon. Finally, we consider the relevance of the Scs system during infection.

Periplasmic redox and Cu-stress handling by *Salmonella*

Salmonella encounters ROS/RNS stress during infection. Its intestinal colonization triggers inflammation with a concomitant generation of these reactive species (5). The pathogen also faces the simultaneous presence of ROS, RNS, and Cu⁺ excess (Fig. 1) in the lumen of the endocytic vesicles within phagocytic cells (5, 43). *Salmonella* employs two periplasmic Cu-Zn-Sod enzymes (Fig. 1) to remove toxic superoxide ions from the periplasm: SodCII, an *E. coli* SodC ortholog, and the horizontally acquired SodCI, encoded in the Gifsy-2 prophage along with other virulence-factors (16, 44). These homologous enzymes have different structural/functional properties and their expression depends on different transcription factors. The dimeric SodCI is tethered to the peptidoglycan and shows a catalytic rate higher than that of the soluble monomeric SodCII (45). Deletion of *sodCI* increases *Salmonella* sensitivity to both superoxide and NO (46) suggesting that SodCI prevents the formation of peroxynitrite through degradation of superoxide radicals. Supporting its role in pathogenesis, *sodCI* transcription is upregulated by the PhoP/PhoQ two-component system, a major coordinator of *Salmonella* virulence (47). Thus, its deletion significantly attenuates macrophage survival and mice infection (48, 49).

The bacterial response to Cu⁺ is also critical for *Salmonella* virulence (40, 50). It depends on the cytoplasmic Cu⁺-sensing transcriptional regulator CueR and a set of envelope proteins

expressed under its control (Fig. 1): the Cu⁺ transporting P-type ATPase CopA, the multicopper oxidase CueO, and the Cu⁺-binding protein CueP (50–54). CueP expression also depends on the CpxR/A system (55) and this periplasmic protein is required for *Salmonella* survival and replication inside macrophages (50, 56). Some *Salmonella* serovars harbor a second Cu⁺ transporting P-type Cu⁺-ATPase, GolT, and a cytoplasmic Cu⁺-chaperone GolB, both controlled by a CueR-like regulator, GoIS (Fig. 1) (52, 57). *In vitro*, CueP can acquire Cu⁺ from either CopA or GolT, and participates in the metallation of SodCI and SodCII (54, 58). However, a $\Delta cueP \Delta copA \Delta golT$ triple mutant retains fully functional SodCI and SodCII (59). On the other hand, CueP can reduce Cu²⁺ to metallic Cu⁰ (56).

Salmonella harbors the canonical DsbA/DsbB and DsbC-G-E/DsbD oxidoreductase systems that maintain thiol groups in the oxidative periplasmic environment (Fig. 1). The structure and biochemical function of these major complexes are discussed below. *Salmonella* also has many horizontally acquired genes coding for Dsb-like proteins (17). Among them, DsbL/DsbI is a DsbA/DsbB-like system required for activation of AssT, a periplasmic aryl-sulfate sulfotransferase encoded in the same chromosomal locus than *dsbL* and *dsbI* (60). SrgA is an alternative DsbA-like oxidase identified in the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid (61). This enzyme interacts with DsbB and assists in the assembly of the plasmid-encoded Pef-fimbriae and the secretion of other virulence factors (61, 62). Accordingly, *srgA* transcription is coregulated with the fimbria-coding genes (61). The Dsb-like disulfide isomerase and thiol oxidase BcfH also contribute to fimbrial biogenesis (63).

Salmonella scsABCD is a chromosomal operon coding for three non-canonical Dsb-like proteins, ScsB, ScsC and ScsD, and ScsA, a putative membrane-protein ScsA of unknown function (38, 42, 64) (Fig. 1). *scsABCD* transcription is induced by Cu stress and depends on CpxR/A (38). The *scs* locus was initially identified by its ability to suppress the Cu-sensitivity of an *E. coli* mutant lacking *nlpE*, the Cpx OM envelope stress sensor coding gene (64). The inclusion of both *scsABCD* and *cueP* as part of the *Salmonella* Cpx regulon (38, 55) might account for the increased sensitivity of the *cpxRA* mutant to both Cu⁺ and Au⁺ (65) (Checa and Soncini, unpublished results). Under Cu-stress, the Scs proteins could provide feedback control to the CpxR/A system, as deletion of the *scs* locus results in an increased Cu-dependent activation of the CpxR/A pathway (38). This resembles a phenotype observed in a *dsbA* deletion strain in *E. coli*, also a member of the Cpx regulon in this species (66).

Although the entire *scsABCD* operon is induced by Cu overload, only ScsB, ScsC, and ScsD are required for Cu⁺ resistance, while they play minor roles in H₂O₂ tolerance (38). On the other hand, ScsA is required for H₂O₂ detoxification but does not provide any protection against Cu (38, 42). The presence of Scs proteins in the *Salmonella* periplasm made the DsbC/DsbD system dispensable for Cu resistance (38), differing from *E. coli*, which lacks the Scs system. Since Cu and ROS are simultaneously present in the host intracellular environment (23, 67), the Scs proteins are thought to be

important under these conditions, contributing to *Salmonella* virulence. The Scs system might also compensate for the low *dsbA* transcription detected at the intracellular niche (68, 69). Although the precise contribution of the Scs system to virulence has not been established and could be complex, wild-type replication levels inside macrophages were reported for Δscs strains (42), except when the cellular-culture medium was supplemented with 100 μ M CuSO₄ (30). In the presence of Cu²⁺, the Δscs mutant also showed a significant reduction in the expression and secretion of virulence factors required for cell invasion (42). Finally, impaired proliferation of a $\Delta scsA$ mutant strain was reported during host's cortisol outburst, a phenomenon that promotes intracellular bacterial replication in the intestinal tract (70).

The canonical Dsb system

Cysteine is a redox-active amino acid that frequently appears in pairs forming S-S bonds in periplasmic proteins (71). This stabilizes protein structure and prevents oxidation/nitrosylation of their side chains (72). Still, Cys in its reduced form is required in periplasmic proteins either for catalytic activity or to coordinate metal ions such as Cu⁺ (24, 72). In this oxidative environment, the Cys redox status is usually maintained by two major thiol oxidoreductase complexes, DsbA/DsbB and DsbC/DsbD (17) (Figs. 2 and 3). DsbA and DsbC are the substrate-interacting periplasmic enzymes. DsbB and DsbD are their IM-partners that drive electrons through the membrane. These Dsb proteins harbor domains with a thioredoxin-like fold and distinctive CX_{2/3}C motifs that are required for their redox activities.




Figure 2. De novo disulfide bond formation catalyzed by the DsbA/DsbB pair. Three-dimensional structures of DsbA (PDB 1A2I) and DsbB (PDB 2ZUQ) are drawn in ribbon form (in blue). All catalytic Cys residues from CX_{2/3}C motifs are represented in yellow spheres. Thiol groups or S-S bonds are depicted in magenta. UQ/UQH₂ is the ubiquinone/ubiquinol pool. Magenta dashed arrows indicate the electron flow. Solid black arrows show the overall redox reactions.




Figure 3. Reactions catalyzed by DsbC. A, isomerization of non-native disulfide bonds in envelope proteins. B, reduction of the Cys groups on protein substrates, a reaction that requires DsbD to recycle DsbC. The structures of DsbC (PDB 1EEJ), DsbDa (PDB 1JPE), DsbDy (PDB 2FWF), and TrxA (PDB 6H1Y) are drawn in ribbon form (in blue). The DsbDβ structure predicted by AlphaFold 2 is shown in gray. Catalytic Cys, thiol groups, or S-S bonds are shown in Figure 2. Dashed arrows indicate either nucleophilic attack or electron flow, as shown in the figure. Solid black arrows illustrate the redox reactions.

Under physiological conditions, the DsbA/DsbB system assists in *de novo* disulfide-bond formation when newly synthesized proteins reach the periplasm (Fig. 2). The periplasmic DsbA functions as a monomeric oxidase that oxidizes the thiol groups of its substrates to form disulfide bonds (73, 74). As a result, the catalytic CX₂C in DsbA becomes reduced. The IM component DsbB re-oxidizes the DsbA active-site residues and transfers the electrons to quinone carriers that feed the respiratory chain (75, 76) (Fig. 2). DsbB has four transmembrane segments and two periplasmic regions, each containing a pair of Cys residues (77, 78). In the N-terminal periplasmic domain of the *E. coli* protein, Cys41 and Cys44 are part of the thioredoxin-like domain that interacts with the reduced quinone carrier. Cys104 and Cys130 in the C-terminal periplasmic region perform DsbA oxidation (Fig. 2). A transient Cys41/Cys130 disulfide bond interaction enables the electron flow between both terminal regions of DsbB. *E. coli* DsbA/DsbB substrates include proteins requiring the formation of S-S bonds between non-consecutive Cys; for instance, LptD and BamA required for lipopolysaccharide or β-barrel protein insertion into the OM, or FtsN, an IM divisome component (79–82). Other DsbA/DsbB substrates participate in the assembly and/or delivery of virulence factors such as flagella, adhesins, and secretion systems, or in stress signaling and activation of the major regulatory envelope homeostasis pathways (83, 84). A mechanistic example of how this Dsb system is linked to redox homeostasis is NlpE, the OM sensor of the CpxR/A system. NlpE is a large lipoprotein with five Cys and two periplasmic domains, each harboring an S-S bond in

its final structure (85). Perturbation of S-S bond formation blocks NlpE trafficking to the OM. The IM-retained misfolded NlpE interacts with CpxA, inducing its autokinase activity, phosphorylation of CpxR, and expression of the Cpx regulon (29, 66). The thiol oxidase DsbA probably provides feedback control to the CpxR/A system, because its coding gene is part of the Cpx regulon in *E. coli*, but also in *Salmonella* and other bacteria (86–88). Similarly, activation by redox stress of the Rcs system, a phospho-relay also contributing to envelope homeostasis, involves two proteins exposing Cys to the periplasm, the OM lipoprotein RcsF and the IM system repressor IgaA (89–93). RcsF usually remains in the OM trapped in a complex with BAM, the protein incorporation β-barrel assembly machinery, and porins. This prevents RcsF interaction with IgaA. Perturbation in S-S bond formation releases RcsF from the above-mentioned complex and allow it to bind IgaA. This finally results in the activation of the Rcs-regulatory pathway.

DsbC can act both as an isomerase and as a reductase (82, 94, 95). The first activity is essential to repair dysfunctional S-S bonds on periplasmic proteins having an odd number of Cys residues (Fig. 3A). Also, DsbC maintains free-SH groups preventing their oxidation/nitrosylation and catalyzes the reduction of dysfunctional S-S bonds (Fig. 3B). In fact, an *E. coli* *dsbC* null mutant is highly sensitive to Cu⁺ (96). DsbC is a V-shaped homodimer, where each protomer contains a thioredoxin domain with a CX₂C active site (97, 98). Catalysis involves the nucleophilic attack of the N-terminal Cys98 of DsbC and the formation of a mixed-disulfide complex with the

substrate (97). Resolution of this intermediate and product release involves the attack of either an alternative Cys in the misfolded substrate (Fig. 3A), or the Cys101 of DsbC (Fig. 3B) (97, 99). A DsbC CX₂S mutant cannot resolve the transient enzyme/substrate disulfide complex. Consequently, this mutant has been employed to trap and identify some of the DsbC target proteins (81, 100). Recycling of oxidized DsbC to its reduced form is mediated by DsbD (Fig. 3B), via its periplasmic CX₃C-containing α -domain (101). This large IM reductase accepts electrons from cytoplasmic thioredoxins, apparently through a cascade of redox exchange reactions involving multiple Cys in both the transmembrane helices and the periplasmic γ -domain (101–105).

E. coli and *Salmonella* also harbor DsbG, a DsbC homolog, which forms an alternative redox pair with DsbD (Fig. 4). DsbG is required to preserve the catalytic Cys residues of L,D-transpeptidases involved in linking peptidoglycan to the Braun lipoprotein Lpp during peptidoglycan maturation (95). Like DsbC, the active form of DsbG is a dimer (106). Differences in a linker helix length and the pattern of hydrogen bonding at the dimer interface between these homologous proteins might contribute to substrate discrimination (98, 107). The protein environment surrounding the CX₂C motifs in DsbG and DsbC also differs. This likely change the pK_a and redox potentials of these enzymes, affecting their corresponding activities. Despite this, DsbC and DsbG can partially substitute each other *in vitro* and also *in vivo* (95, 108), except under Cu⁺ stress (96). Another

DsbD substrate is the inner membrane-anchored protein DsbE (CcmG), which is involved in cytochrome *c* biogenesis (104, 109) (Fig. 4). Like DsbC and DsbG, DsbE has a periplasmic thioredoxin-like domain with a CX₂C motif (110, 111).

The *Salmonella* Scs system and its paralogues in other pathogens

The *scs* locus is present in all available *S. enterica* and *Salmonella bongori* genomes, as well as in other enterobacterial species like *Citrobacter*, *Serratia*, *Yersinia*, *Klebsiella*, *Cedecea*, *Raoultella*, *Enterobacter*, *Pantoea*, *Providencia*, *Proteus*, and a swine diarrheagenic *E. coli* TA206 strain, as well as in the gamma proteobacteria *Aeromonas* (Fig. 5). In these species, a similar operon array is conserved. The first gene, *scsA*, is followed by *scsB*, *scsC*, and *scsD*. However, *scsC* and *scsD* are inverted in *Vibrio* sp. (Fig. 5). We have reported putative CpxR-binding sites in the promoter of most *scs*-like operons (38), suggesting both the presence of Cpx-dependent regulation and a Scs-mediated feed-back control. Curiously, in *Cronobacter sakazakii* a *scsB* homolog is next to a gene coding for a protein with ~60% identity to *Salmonella* DsbG (Fig. 5). Incomplete *scs* operons, lacking either *scsA* or *scsC*, are present in *Shewanella* sp. and *Photobacterium profundum*, respectively. *Caulobacter crescentus*, a member of the alphaproteobacterial class and an opportunistic pathogen, encodes *scsB* and *scsC* homologs (112), while *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Neisseria meningitidis* only harbor a *scsD* homolog in their genomes (Fig. 5).

ScsB forms a functional redox pair with the periplasmic component ScsC in *Salmonella*, *C. crescentus*, and *P. mirabilis* (112–114) (Fig. 5). ScsB and its ortholog define a new group within the DsbD protein family (112, 113). These IM proteins have eight transmembrane segments and two periplasmic domains (α and γ) with the archetypical CX_{2/3}C motif (Fig. 6). Considering the similarity between ScsB and DsbD at the IM region (Figs. 3 and 6), it was proposed that TrxA, the cytoplasmic enzyme that feeds electrons to DsbD, is also the electron donor of ScsB (Fig. 6). Differences between ScsB and DsbD are more evident at the periplasmic α -region. In this region, DsbD has a single immunoglobulin-like fold (Fig. 3) (115), while the ScsB α (Fig. 6) contains two of these domains in tandem (112, 116). Only the N-terminal subdomain, named α -A, includes the redox-active site (CX₃C motif) that primes the ScsC activity and is conserved among the ScsB proteins (113). The other immunoglobulin-like subdomain, α -B, is more variable and has been suggested to modulate the interaction with other redox partners. For instance, *C. crescentus* ScsB interacts with ScsC and other proteins involved in the cell envelope reductive pathway such as PprX, a periplasmic peroxiredoxin, and PrxL, a peroxiredoxin-like protein (112). The possibility of crosstalk between the *Salmonella* ScsB/ScsC and DsbD/DsbC systems was analyzed *in vitro* (114). While the DsbD α domain transfers electrons to oxidized ScsC, ScsB α cannot catalyze the reduction of DsbC.

All ScsC homologs have the characteristic thioredoxin fold, the CX₂C catalytic motif, and various conserved residues (117).




Figure 4. The alternative DsbD partners, DsbG and DsbE (CcmG) and the reactions they catalyze. The structures of DsbG (PDB 1V58) and DsbE (CcmG) (PDB 2B1K) DsbD α (PDB 1JPE), DsbD γ (PDB 2FWF) and TrxA (PDB 6H1Y) are drawn in ribbon form (in blue). Structures of DsbD β and the N-terminal region of DsbE predicted by AlphaFold 2 are shown in gray. Catalytic Cys, thiol or sulfoxide groups, S-S bonds, and electron flow are shown as in Figure 2.




Figure 5. The *scs* locus from *Salmonella* and paralogue species. The figure shows the chromosomal region of organisms harboring *scsABCD* homologs (analysis performed on the “Seed Viewer”, <http://pubseed.theseed.org>). Only a representative strain from either *S. enterica* or *S. bongori* is included in the figure, but all *Salmonella* genomes have the *scsABCD* locus. The *S. enterica scsABCD* promoter is indicated with a black arrow. The identified (black boxes) or predicted (gray boxes) direct repeats corresponding to the CpxR-binding sites upstream of *scsABCD* promoter are shown. The full names of analyzed strains are *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium str. LT2; *Salmonella bongori* 12,149; *Citrobacter* sp. 30_2; *Cececea davisae* DSM 4568; *Escherichia coli* TA206; *Serratia* sp. AS13; *Yersinia* sp; *Raoultella ornithinolytica* B6; *Enterobacter* sp B509; *Klebsiella* sp 1_1_55; *Hafnia alvei* ATCC 51873; *Erwinia billingiae* Eb661; *Aeromonas* sp 159; *Pantoea* sp GM01; *Proteus mirabilis* HI4320; *Providencia stuartii* MRSN 2154; *Vibrio* sp MED222; *Photobacterium profundum* SS9; *Cronobacter zakazakii* ATCC BAA-894; *Shewanella* sp W3-18-1; *Haemophilus influenzae* R2846; *Neisseria meningitidis* FAM18.

Salmonella ScsC Gly₁₈₆, Pro₁₇₅, and Thr₁₇₄ are also present in all homologs (Fig. 6), including *E. coli* DsbG. These residues are proposed to stabilize the reduced form of the protein (117).




Figure 6. The *Salmonella* Typhimurium Scs system. The structure of ScsC (PDB 4GXZ) and TrxA (PDB 6H1Y) are shown in ribbon form (in blue). The two subdomains (A and B) from the ScsBa region predicted by AlphaFold 2 are colored pink and purple, respectively. Structures of the β and γ domains of ScsB, ScsA and ScsD predicted by AlphaFold 2 are shown in gray. Yellow spheres represent the catalytic C composing the CX_{2/3}C motifs. Inserts highlight the active sites of ScsC and ScsD with Cys residues colored in yellow. Other conserved residues important for the redox activity of ScsC are highlighted, as well as the conserved P135 residue of ScsD. Dashed arrows indicate reported (black) or hypothetical (magenta) electron transfer between Scs proteins.

Lys₇₀ and Lys₉₆ residues that stabilize the thiolate form of the nucleophilic Cys₆₆ in the catalytic motif of *Salmonella* ScsC (117), are conserved in most of ScsC homologs, except in *C. crescentus*, *Shewanella*, and *Pantoea* sp. However, the ScsC-like proteins show significant heterogeneity in their oligomerization state. *Proteus* and *Caulobacter* ScsC form homotrimers connected by the long N-terminal α-helix, while the *Salmonella* homolog lacking this region is a monomer (116, 118) (Fig. 6). These distinct structures seem to be associated with different roles. *Caulobacter* and *Proteus* ScsC have disulfide isomerase activity (112, 116, 118). By contrast, *Salmonella* ScsC, initially proposed as a disulfide reductase *in vitro* (117), was shown to facilitate disulfide bond formation of the periplasmic arginine sensor ArtI under stress conditions (30). Because ArtI only has two Cys, it was speculated that ScsC may also repair these oxidized residues under redox stress. SodCI and SodCII are putative *Salmonella* ScsC substrates, as are other proteins involved in amino acid sensing and import, including ArgT, GltI, and HisJ (30). Residues around the Cys in the CX₂C motif likely influence the redox potential of periplasmic proteins. Both ScsC and the disulfide isomerase DsbG have identical CPYC motif at their active sites and similar low redox midpoint, -132 and -129 mV, respectively (117). Nevertheless, *Salmonella* ScsC shows a low pKa (3.4), comparable to that of the thiol oxidase DsbA (3.5). Thus, ScsC might have both oxidase and reductase activities in different conditions.

Salmonella ScsC and ScsB, and *C. crescentus* ScsC were shown to bind Cu⁺ and Cu²⁺ *in vitro* (114, 118). Both

Salmonella ScsC and ScsB from *Salmonella* can also transfer Cu⁺ to CueP with similar efficiency (114). However, neither the specificity of metal binding nor the unidirectionality of Cu⁺ transfer has been tested. Also, the relevance of Cu transfer and its relationship with the redox activity of these enzymes await elucidation.

Salmonella scsD encodes a predicted IM-anchored protein with a periplasmic thioredoxin-fold domain. Its AlphaFold 2 structure (Fig. 6) resembles that of the membrane-linked thiol:disulfide interchange proteins such as DsbE/CcmG and TlpA, involved in cytochrome *c* and *aa3* biogenesis, respectively (110, 119). Apparently, ScsD (Fig. 6) and its homologs have a conserved proline residue separated by 61 residues from their putative redox-active CX₂C motif. Interestingly, this Pro residue stabilizes the oxidoreductase motif of DsbE/CcmG-like proteins (111). Considering that TlpA is a substrate of *Caulobacter* ScsB (112), DsbE is another DsbD partner in *E. coli* (109) (Fig. 4), and the function of both *Salmonella* ScsC and ScsD under Cu⁺ stress depends on ScsB (38), we propose that ScsD is also a ScsB redox partner (Fig. 4).

Finally, *Salmonella* ScsA is the only Scs protein having a CX₂C motif but lacking the thioredoxin-like fold and probably the redox activity associated with this domain. Interestingly, it has no homology to any structurally characterized protein. Contrary to the other Scs proteins, its location within the bacterial envelope could not be determined (42). Some *in silico* predictions indicate that ScsA might be an integral IM protein with two transmembrane helices (Fig. 6), while others showed a lipobox-like sequence in the N-terminal region that might direct ScsA to the OM. If ScsA is an OM protein, the CX₂C motif located at the N-terminus of the putative cleavage site is expected to be removed during processing. In the hypothetical case that ScsA remains in the IM, this motif would be hidden in the membrane (Fig. 6). Interestingly, all identified ScsA homologs carry this predicted lipobox sequence, and those more closely related to the *Salmonella* ScsA also conserve the CLAC array within the CX₂C motif. In addition, a peroxidase signature sequence, TAQRMAGLHAL (64), is detected in most ScsA homologs in a region predicted to be exposed to the periplasm. Taking this into account and given the role of ScsA in H₂O₂ tolerance (38, 42), it is tempting to speculate that this protein functions as a periplasmic peroxidase. The observation that expression of the Scs proteins avoided H₂O₂-mediated carbonylation of envelope proteins (42) further supports this idea. Based on the requirement of Cu stress for *scsA* transcription (38, 42), ScsA might prevent the generation of ROS species derived from Cu overload.

Concluding remarks

Counteracting redox and Cu stress in the envelope of bacterial pathogens is required to establish a successful infection. The collected evidence indicates that the canonical Dsb systems might not satisfy the extra demands that the host-pathogen interface imposes to preserve functional thiol groups. Then, it is logical that novel Dsb-like systems were acquired during evolution by intracellular pathogens like

Salmonella or uropathogens like *P. mirabilis*. In fact, the *Salmonella* Scs system emerges as the linker between Cu and redox stress. The role of three of its components in disulfide bond generation and/or isomerization of envelope proteins might be assumed based on their structural features. However, their specific chemical function and biological roles require experimental confirmation. In addition, the biochemical function of ScsA and its membrane topology remain to be determined. Importantly, the specific requirements of the ScsABCD system at the host/pathogen interface, as well as its relevance during the infection cycle of *Salmonella* and other pathogens, also need further inquiries.

Data availability

All data are contained within this paper.

Author contributions—A. A. E. M., F. C. S., and S. K. C. investigation; A. A. E. M., F. C. S., and S. K. C. conceptualization; A. A. E. M., F. C. S., and S. K. C. writing—original draft; A. A. E. M., J. M. A., F. C. S., and S. K. C. writing—review and editing.

Funding and additional information—This work was supported by the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT-2018–02122 to F. C. S.), the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) (PIP 11220200100600CO to S. K. C.) and the National Institutes of Health (R01A1150784 to J. M. A.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. A. A. E. M. is a CONICET postdoctoral fellowship recipient. S. K. C. and F. C. S. are career investigators of CONICET, and F. C. S. is a career investigator of the Rosario National University Research Council.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: Dsb, Disulfide bond; IM, inner membrane; iNOS, nitric oxide synthase; OM, outer membrane; Phox, NADPH-dependent oxidase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RNS, reactive nitrogen species; SodC, Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase.

References

- Silhavy, T. J., Kahne, D., and Walker, S. (2010) The bacterial cell envelope. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a000414>
- Miller, S. I., and Salama, N. R. (2018) The gram-negative bacterial periplasm: size matters. *PLoS Biol.* <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004935>
- Dos Santos, A. M. P., Ferrari, R. G., and Conte-Junior, C. A. (2019) Virulence factors in *Salmonella* Typhimurium: the sagacity of a bacterium. *Curr. Microbiol.* **76**, 762–773
- Hews, C. L., Cho, T., Rowley, G., and Raivio, T. L. (2019) Maintaining integrity under stress: envelope stress response regulation of pathogenesis in Gram-negative bacteria. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* **9**, 313
- Rhen, M. (2019) *Salmonella* and reactive oxygen species: a love-hate relationship. *J. Innate Immun.* **11**, 216–226
- Fang, F. C. (2011) Antimicrobial actions of reactive oxygen species. *MBio.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00141-11>

7. Henard, C. A., and Vázquez-Torres, A. (2011) Nitric oxide and salmonella pathogenesis. *Front. Microbiol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00084>
8. DiMeo, S., Reed, T. T., Venditti, P., and Victor, V. M. (2016) Role of ROS and RNS sources in physiological and pathological conditions. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/1245049>
9. Vazquez-Torres, A., Jones-Carson, J., Mastroeni, P., Ischiropoulos, H., and Fang, F. C. (2000) Antimicrobial actions of the NADPH phagocyte oxidase and inducible nitric oxide synthase in experimental Salmonellosis. I. Effects on microbial killing by activated peritoneal macrophages *in vitro*. *J. Exp. Med.* **192**, 227–236
10. Fang, F. C. (1997) Mechanisms of nitric oxide-related antimicrobial activity. *J. Clin. Invest.* **99**, 2818–2825
11. Woodmansee, A. N., and Imlay, J. A. (2003) A mechanism by which nitric oxide accelerates the rate of oxidative DNA damage in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **49**, 11–22
12. Mitchell, A. M., and Silhavy, T. J. (2019) Envelope stress responses: balancing damage repair and toxicity. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 417–428
13. Paiva, C. N., and Bozza, M. T. (2014) Are reactive oxygen species always detrimental to pathogens? *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **20**, 1000–1034
14. Ezratty, B., Gennaris, A., Barras, F., and Collet, J. F. (2017) Oxidative stress, protein damage and repair in bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15**, 385–396
15. Denoncin, K., and Collet, J. F. (2013) Disulfide bond formation in the bacterial periplasm: major achievements and challenges ahead. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **19**, 63–71
16. Fang, F. C., Degroote, M. A., Foster, J. W., Bäuml, A. J., Ochsner, U., Testerman, T., *et al.* (1999) Virulent *Salmonella typhimurium* has two periplasmic Cu, Zn-superoxide dismutases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 7502–7507
17. Heras, B., Shouldice, S. R., Totsika, M., Scanlon, M. J., Schembri, M. A., and Martin, J. L. (2009) DSB proteins and bacterial pathogenicity. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **7**, 215–225
18. Łasica, A. M., and Jagusztyn-Krynicka, E. K. (2007) The role of Dsb proteins of Gram-negative bacteria in the process of pathogenesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **31**, 626–636
19. Mahmoud, S. A., and Chien, P. (2018) Regulated proteolysis in bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **87**, 677
20. Raivio, T. L. (2014) Everything old is new again: an update on current research on the Cpx envelope stress response. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **1843**, 1529–1541
21. Meng, J., Young, G., and Chen, J. (2021) The Rcs system in enterobacteriaceae: envelope stress responses and virulence regulation. *Front. Microbiol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.627104>
22. Simpson, B. W., and Trent, M. S. (2019) Emerging roles for NlpE as a sensor for lipoprotein maturation and transport to the outer membrane in *Escherichia coli*. *MBio.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01302-19>
23. Macomber, L., and Imlay, J. A. (2009) The iron-sulfur clusters of dehydratases are primary intracellular targets of copper toxicity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 8344–8349
24. Rubino, J. T., and Franz, K. J. (2012) Coordination chemistry of copper proteins: how nature handles a toxic cargo for essential function. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **107**, 129–143
25. Pham, A. N., Xing, G., Miller, C. J., and Waite, T. D. (2013) Fenton-like copper redox chemistry revisited: hydrogen peroxide and superoxide mediation of copper-catalyzed oxidant production. *J. Catal.* **301**, 54–64
26. Dupont, C. L., Grass, G., and Rensing, C. (2011) Copper toxicity and the origin of bacterial resistance - new insights and applications. *Metalomics* **3**, 1109–1118
27. Zuily, L., Lahrach, N., Fassler, R., Genest, O., Faller, P., Sènèque, O., *et al.* (2022) Copper induces protein aggregation, a toxic process compensated by molecular chaperones. *MBio.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/MBIO.03251-21>
28. Eben, S. S., and Imlay, J. A. (2023) Excess copper catalyzes protein disulfide bond formation in the bacterial periplasm but not in the cytoplasm. *Mol. Microbiol.* **119**, 423–438
29. May, K. L., Lehman, K. M., Mitchell, A. M., and Grabowicz, M. (2019) A stress response monitoring lipoprotein trafficking to the outer membrane. *MBio.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00618-19>
30. Yucel, B., Robinson, G. K., and Shepherd, M. (2020) The copper-responsive ScsC protein of *Salmonella* promotes intramacrophage survival and interacts with the arginine sensor ArtI. *FEBS J.* **287**, 3827–3840
31. Fu, Y., Chang, F. M. J., and Giedroc, D. P. (2014) Copper transport and trafficking at the host-bacterial pathogen interface. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **47**, 3605–3613
32. Pontel, L. B., Checa, S. K., and Soncini, F. C. (2015) Bacterial copper resistance and virulence. In *Bacteria-Metal Interactions*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18570-5_1
33. Besold, A. N., Culbertson, E. M., and Culotta, V. C. (2016) The Yin and Yang of copper during infection. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **21**, 137–144
34. Stafford, S. L., Bokil, N. J., Achard, M. E. S., Kapetanovic, R., Schembri, M. A., Mcewan, A. G., *et al.* (2013) Metal ions in macrophage antimicrobial pathways: emerging roles for zinc and copper. *Biosci. Rep.* **33**, 541–554
35. Hyre, A. N., Kavanagh, K., Kock, N. D., Donati, G. L., and Subashchandrabose, S. (2017) Copper is a host effector mobilized to urine during urinary tract infection to impair bacterial colonization. *Infect. Immun.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01041-16>
36. Subashchandrabose, S., Hazen, T. H., Brumbaugh, A. R., Himpsl, S. D., Smith, S. N., Ernst, R. D., *et al.* (2014) Host-specific induction of *Escherichia coli* fitness genes during human urinary tract infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 18327–18332
37. Saenkham, P., Ritter, M., Donati, G. L., and Subashchandrabose, S. (2020) Copper primes adaptation of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* to superoxide stress by activating superoxide dismutases. *PLoS Pathog.* **16**, e1008856
38. López, C., Checa, S. K., and Soncini, F. C. (2018) CpxR/CpxA controls scsABCD transcription to counteract copper and oxidative stress in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00126-18>
39. Argüello, J. M., Raimunda, D., and Padilla-Benavides, T. (2013) Mechanisms of copper homeostasis in bacteria. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* **4**, 73
40. Checa, S. K., Giri, G. F., Espariz, M., Argüello, J. M., and Soncini, F. C. (2021) Copper handling in the *Salmonella* cell envelope and its impact on virulence. *Trends Microbiol.* **29**, 384–387
41. Giachino, A., and Waldron, K. J. (2020) Copper tolerance in bacteria requires the activation of multiple accessory pathways. *Mol. Microbiol.* **114**, 377–390
42. Anwar, N., Sem, X. H., and Rhen, M. (2013) Oxidoreductases that act as conditional virulence suppressors in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *PLoS One* **8**, e64948
43. Hodgkinson, V., and Petris, M. J. (2012) Copper homeostasis at the host-pathogen interface. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 13549–13555
44. Canvin, J., Langford, P. R., Wilks, K. E., and Kroll, J. S. (1996) Identification of sodC encoding periplasmic [Cu,Zn]-superoxide dismutase in *Salmonella*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **136**, 215–220
45. Ammendola, S., Pasquali, P., Pacello, F., Rotilio, G., Castor, M., Libby, S. J., *et al.* (2008) Regulatory and structural differences in the Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutases of *Salmonella enterica* and their significance for virulence. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 13688–13699
46. De Groote, M. A., Ochsner, U. A., Shiloh, M. U., Nathan, C., McCord, J. M., Dinauer, M. C., *et al.* (1997) Periplasmic superoxide dismutase protects *Salmonella* from products of phagocyte NADPH-oxidase and nitric oxide synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **94**, 13997–14001
47. Golubeva, Y. A., and Slauch, J. M. (2006) *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium periplasmic superoxide dismutase SodCI is a member of the PhoPQ regulon and is induced in macrophages. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**, 7853–7861
48. Krishnakumar, R., Craig, M., Imlay, J. A., and Slauch, J. M. (2004) Differences in enzymatic properties allow SodCI but not SodCII to contribute to virulence in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain 14028. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 5230–5238
49. Uzzau, S., Bossi, L., and Figueroa-Bossi, N. (2002) Differential accumulation of *Salmonella*[Cu, Zn] superoxide dismutases SodCI and SodCII in intracellular bacteria: correlation with their relative contribution to pathogenicity. *Mol. Microbiol.* **46**, 147–156

50. Méndez, A. A. E., Mendoza, J. I., Echarren, M. L., Terán, I., Checa, S. K., and Soncini, F. C. (2022) Evolution of copper homeostasis and virulence in *Salmonella*. *Front. Microbiol.* **13**, 172
51. Lim, S. Y., Joe, M. H., Song, S. S., Lee, M. H., John, W., and Foster, Y.-K. P. (2002) *cuiD* is a crucial gene for survival at high copper environment in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Mol. Cells* **14**, 177–184
52. Espariz, M., Checa, S. K., Audero, M. E. P., Pontel, L. B., and Soncini, F. C. (2007) Dissecting the *Salmonella* response to copper. *Microbiology* **153**, 2989–2997
53. Pontel, L. B., and Soncini, F. C. (2009) Alternative periplasmic copper-resistance mechanisms in Gram negative bacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* **73**, 212–225
54. Osman, D., Waldron, K. J., Denton, H., Taylor, C. M., Grant, A. J., Mastroeni, P., *et al.* (2010) Copper homeostasis in *Salmonella* is atypical and copper-CueP is a major periplasmic metal complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 25259–25268
55. Pezza, A., Pontel, L. B., López, C., and Soncini, F. C. (2016) Compartment and signal-specific codependence in the transcriptional control of *Salmonella* periplasmic copper homeostasis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 11573–11578
56. Yoon, B. Y., Yeom, J. H., Kim, J. S., Um, S. H., Jo, I., Lee, K., *et al.* (2014) Direct ROS scavenging activity of CueP from *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *Mol. Cells* **37**, 100–108
57. Checa, S. K., Espariz, M., Audero, M. E. P., Botta, P. E., Spinelli, S. V., and Soncini, F. C. (2007) Bacterial sensing of and resistance to gold salts. *Mol. Microbiol.* **63**, 1307–1318
58. Osman, D., Patterson, C. J., Bailey, K., Fisher, K., Robinson, N. J., Rigby, S. E. J., *et al.* (2013) The copper supply pathway to a *Salmonella* Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase (SodCII) involves P(1B)-type ATPase copper efflux and periplasmic CueP. *Mol. Microbiol.* **87**, 466–477
59. Fenlon, L. A., and Schlauch, J. M. (2017) Cytoplasmic copper detoxification in *Salmonella* can contribute to SodC metalation but is dispensable during systemic infection. *J. Bacteriol.* **199**, e00437-17
60. Lin, D., Kim, B., and Schlauch, J. M. (2009) DsbL and DsbI contribute to periplasmic disulfide bond formation in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Microbiology* **155**, 4014–4024
61. Bouwman, C. W., Kohli, M., Killoran, A., Touchie, G. A., Kadner, R. J., and Martin, N. L. (2003) Characterization of SrgA, a *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium virulence plasmid-encoded paralogue of the disulfide oxidoreductase DsbA, essential for biogenesis of plasmid-encoded fimbriae. *J. Bacteriol.* **185**, 991–1000
62. Miki, T., Okada, N., and Danbara, H. (2004) Two periplasmic disulfide oxidoreductases, DsbA and SrgA, target outer membrane protein SpiA, a component of the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 type III secretion system. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 34631–34642
63. Subedi, P., Paxman, J. J., Wang, G., Hor, L., Hong, Y., Verderosa, A. D., *et al.* (2021) *Salmonella enterica* BcfH is a trimeric thioredoxin-like bifunctional enzyme with both thiol oxidase and disulfide isomerase activities. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **35**, 21–39
64. Gupta, S. D., Wu, H. C., and Rick, P. D. (1997) A *Salmonella typhimurium* genetic locus which confers copper tolerance on copper-sensitive mutants of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**, 4977–4984
65. Cerminati, S., Giri, G. F., Mendoza, J. I., Soncini, F. C., and Checa, S. K. (2018) The CpxR/CpxA system contributes to *Salmonella* gold-resistance by controlling the GolS-dependent *gesABC* transcription. *Environ. Microbiol.* **19**, 4035–4044
66. Delhay, A., Laloux, G., and Collet, J. F. (2019) The lipoprotein NlpE is a cpx sensor that serves as a sentinel for protein sorting and folding defects in the *Escherichia coli* envelope. *J. Bacteriol.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00611-18>
67. Achard, M. E. S., Stafford, S. L., Bokil, N. J., Chartres, J., Bernhardt, P. V., Schembri, M. A., *et al.* (2012) Copper redistribution in murine macrophages in response to *Salmonella* infection. *Biochem. J.* **444**, 51–57
68. Ellermeier, C. D., and Schlauch, J. M. (2004) RtsA coordinately regulates DsbA and the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 type III secretion system. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 68–79
69. Eriksson, S., Lucchini, S., Thompson, A., Rhen, M., and Hinton, J. C. D. (2003) Unravelling the biology of macrophage infection by gene expression profiling of intracellular *Salmonella enterica*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **47**, 103–118
70. Verbrugge, E., Dhaenens, M., Leyman, B., Boyen, F., Shearer, N., Van Parys, A., *et al.* (2016) Host stress drives *Salmonella* recrudescence. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 20849
71. Poole, L. B. (2015) The basics of thiols and cysteines in redox biology and chemistry. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **180**, 148–157
72. Collet, J.-F., Cho, S.-H., Iorga, B. I., and Goemans, C. V. (2020) How the assembly and protection of the bacterial cell envelope depend on cysteine residues. *J. Biol. Chem.* **295**, 11984–11994
73. Kadokura, H., Tian, H., Zander, T., Bardwell, J. C. A., and Beckwith, J. (2004) Snapshots of DsbA in action: detection of proteins in the process of oxidative folding. *Science* **303**, 534–537
74. Nakamoto, H., and Bardwell, J. C. A. (2004) Catalysis of disulfide bond formation and isomerization in the *Escherichia coli* periplasm. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **1694**, 111–119
75. Bader, M., Muse, W., Ballou, D. P., Gassner, C., and Bardwell, J. C. A. (1999) Oxidative protein folding is driven by the electron transport system. *Cell* **98**, 217–227
76. Inaba, K., and Ito, K. (2008) Structure and mechanisms of the DsbB-DsbA disulfide bond generation machine. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **1783**, 520–529
77. Inaba, K., Murakami, S., Suzuki, M., Nakagawa, A., Yamashita, E., Okada, K., *et al.* (2006) Crystal structure of the DsbB-DsbA complex reveals a mechanism of disulfide bond generation. *Cell* **127**, 789–801
78. Kishigami, S., and Ito, K. (1996) Roles of cysteine residues of DsbB in its activity to reoxidize DsbA, the protein disulphide bond catalyst of *Escherichia coli*. *Genes Cells* **1**, 201–208
79. Meehan, B. M., Landeta, C., Boyd, D., and Beckwith, J. (2017) The essential cell division protein FtsN contains a critical disulfide bond in a non-essential domain. *Mol. Microbiol.* **103**, 413–422
80. Meehan, B. M., Landeta, C., Boyd, D., and Beckwith, J. (2017) The disulfide bond formation pathway is essential for anaerobic growth of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00120-17>
81. Denoncin, K., Vertommen, D., Paek, E., and Collet, J. F. (2010) The protein-disulfide isomerase DsbC cooperates with SurA and DsbA in the assembly of the essential β -barrel protein LptD. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 29425–29433
82. Vertommen, D., Depuydt, M., Pan, J., Leverrier, P., Knoops, L., Szikora, J. P., *et al.* (2008) The disulphide isomerase DsbC cooperates with the oxidase DsbA in a DsbD-independent manner. *Mol. Microbiol.* **67**, 336–349
83. Dailey, F. E., and Berg, H. C. (1993) Mutants in disulfide bond formation that disrupt flagellar assembly in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **90**, 1043–1047
84. Ha, U. H., Wang, Y., and Jin, S. (2003) DsbA of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is essential for multiple virulence factors. *Infect. Immun.* **71**, 1590
85. Hirano, Y., Hossain, M. M., Takeda, K., Tokuda, H., and Miki, K. (2007) Structural studies of the Cpx pathway activator NlpE on the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *Structure* **15**, 963–976
86. Pogliano, J., Lynch, A. S., Belin, D., Lin, E. C. C., and Beckwith, J. (1997) Regulation of *Escherichia coli* cell envelope proteins involved in protein folding and degradation by the Cpx two-component system. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 1169–1182
87. Danese, P. N., and Silhavy, T. J. (1997) The $\sigma(E)$ and the Cpx signal transduction systems control the synthesis of periplasmic protein-folding enzymes in *Escherichia coli*. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 1183–1193
88. Humphreys, S., Rowley, G., Stevenson, A., Anjum, M. F., Woodward, M. J., Gilbert, S., *et al.* (2004) Role of the two-component regulator CpxAR in the virulence of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium. *Infect. Immun.* **72**, 4654
89. Pucciarelli, M. G., Rodríguez, L., and García-Del Portillo, F. (2017) A disulfide bond in the membrane protein IgaA is essential for repression of the RcsCDB system. *Front. Microbiol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2017.02605/FULL>

90. Guo, X. P., and Sun, Y. C. (2017) New insights into the non-orthodox two component Rcs phosphorelay system. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 2014
91. Hussein, N. A., Cho, S. H., Laloux, G., Siam, R., and Collet, J. F. (2018) Distinct domains of *Escherichia coli* IgaA connect envelope stress sensing and down-regulation of the Rcs phosphorelay across subcellular compartments. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007398
92. Cho, S. H., Szewczyk, J., Pesavento, C., Zietek, M., Banzhaf, M., Roszczenko, P., *et al.* (2014) Detecting envelope stress by monitoring β -barrel assembly. *Cell* **159**, 1652–1664
93. Wall, E., Majdalani, N., and Gottesman, S. (2018) The complex Rcs regulatory cascade. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **72**, 111–139
94. Shevchik, V. E., Condemine, G., and Robert-Baudouy, J. (1994) Characterization of DsbC, a periplasmic protein of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* and *Escherichia coli* with disulfide isomerase activity. *EMBO J.* **13**, 2007
95. Depuydt, M., Leonard, S. E., Vertommen, D., Denoncin, K., Morsomme, P., Wahni, K., *et al.* (2009) A periplasmic reducing system protects single cysteine residues from oxidation. *Science* **326**, 1109–1111
96. Hiniker, A., Collet, J. F., and Bardwell, J. C. A. (2005) Copper stress causes an *in vivo* requirement for the *Escherichia coli* disulfide isomerase DsbC. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 33785–33791
97. Jiao, L., Kim, J. S., Song, W. S., Yoon, B. Y., Lee, K., and Ha, N. C. (2013) Crystal structure of the periplasmic disulfide-bond isomerase DsbC from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and the mechanistic implications. *J. Struct. Biol.* **183**, 1–10
98. Yeh, S. M., Koon, N., Squire, C., and Metcalf, P. (2007) Structures of the dimerization domains of the *Escherichia coli* disulfide-bond isomerase enzymes DsbC and DsbG. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **63**, 465–471
99. Zhao, Z., Peng, Y., Hao, S. F., Zeng, Z. H., and Wang, C. C. (2003) Dimerization by domain hybridization bestows chaperone and isomerase activities. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 43292–43298
100. Leverrier, P., Declercq, J. P., Denoncin, K., Vertommen, D., Hiniker, A., Cho, S. H., *et al.* (2011) Crystal structure of the outer membrane protein RcsF, a new substrate for the periplasmic protein-disulfide isomerase DsbC. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 16734–16742
101. Goldstone, D., Haebel, P. W., Katzen, F., Bader, M. W., Bardwell, J. C. A., Beckwith, J., *et al.* (2001) DsbC activation by the N-terminal domain of DsbD. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **98**, 9551–9556
102. Cho, S. H., and Beckwith, J. (2009) Two snapshots of electron transport across the membrane: insights into the structure and function of DsbD. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 11416–11424
103. Rozhkova, A., and Glockshuber, R. (2008) Thermodynamic aspects of DsbD-mediated electron transport. *J. Mol. Biol.* **380**, 783–788
104. Katzen, F., and Beckwith, J. (2000) Transmembrane electron transfer by the membrane protein DsbD occurs via a disulfide bond cascade. *Cell* **103**, 769–779
105. Rietsch, A., Bessette, P., Georgiou, G., and Beckwith, J. (1997) Reduction of the periplasmic disulfide bond isomerase, DsbC, occurs by passage of electrons from cytoplasmic thioredoxin. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**, 6602–6608
106. Bessette, P. H., Cotto, J. J., Gilbert, H. F., and Georgieou, G. (1999) *In vivo* and *in vitro* function of the *Escherichia coli* periplasmic cysteine oxidoreductase DsbG. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 7784–7792
107. Heras, B., Edeling, M. A., Schirra, H. J., Raina, S., and Martin, J. L. (2004) Crystal structures of the DsbG disulfide isomerase reveal an unstable disulfide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**, 8876–8881
108. Denoncin, K., Vertommen, D., Arts, I. S., Goemans, C. V., Rahuel-Clermont, S., Messens, J., *et al.* (2014) A new role for *Escherichia coli* DsbC protein in protection against oxidative stress. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 12356–12364
109. Stirnimann, C. U., Rozhkova, A., Grauschopf, U., Grütter, M. G., Glockshuber, R., and Capitani, G. (2005) Structural basis and kinetics of DsbD-dependent cytochrome c maturation. *Structure* **13**, 985–993
110. Edeling, M. A., Guddat, L. W., Fabianek, R. A., Thöny-Meyer, L., and Martin, J. L. (2002) Structure of CcmG/DsbE at 1.14 Å resolution: high-fidelity reducing activity in an indiscriminately oxidizing environment. *Structure* **10**, 973–979
111. Ouyang, N., Gao, Y. G., Hu, H. Y., and Xia, Z. X. (2006) Crystal structures of *E. coli* CcmG and its mutants reveal key roles of the N-terminal β -sheet and the fingerprint region. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Genet.* **65**, 1021–1031
112. Cho, S. H., Parsonage, D., Thurston, C., Dutton, R. J., Poole, L. B., Collet, J. F., *et al.* (2012) A new family of membrane electron transporters and its substrates, including a new cell envelope peroxiredoxin, reveal a broadened reductive capacity of the oxidative bacterial cell envelope. *MBio* **3**, 1–11
113. Furlong, E. J., Choudhury, H. G., Kurth, F., Duff, A. P., Whitten, A. E., and Martin, J. L. (2018) Disulfide isomerase activity of the dynamic, trimeric *Proteus mirabilis* ScsC protein is primed by the tandem immunoglobulin-fold domain of ScsB. *J. Biol. Chem.* **293**, 5793–5805
114. Subedi, P., Paxman, J. J., Wang, G., Ukuwela, A. A., Xiao, Z., and Heras, B. (2019) The Scs disulfide reductase system cooperates with the metallochaperone CueP in *Salmonella* copper resistance. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294**, 15876–15888
115. Haebel, P. W., Goldstone, D., Katzen, F., Beckwith, J., and Metcalf, P. (2002) The disulfide bond isomerase DsbC is activated by an immunoglobulin-fold thiol oxidoreductase: crystal structure of the DsbC–DsbD α complex. *EMBO J.* **21**, 4774
116. Furlong, E. J., Lo, A. W., Kurth, F., Premkumar, L., Totsika, M., Achard, M. E. S., *et al.* (2017) A shape-shifting redox foldase contributes to *Proteus mirabilis* copper resistance. *Nat. Commun.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16065>
117. Shepherd, M., Heras, B., Achard, M. E. S., King, G. J., Argente, M. P., Kurth, F., *et al.* (2013) Structural and functional characterization of ScsC, a periplasmic thioredoxin-like protein from *salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **19**, 1494–1506
118. Petit, G. A., Hong, Y., Djoko, K. Y., Whitten, A. E., Furlong, E. J., McCoy, A. J., *et al.* (2022) The suppressor of copper sensitivity protein C from *Caulobacter crescentus* is a trimeric disulfide isomerase that binds copper(I) with subpicomolar affinity. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D, Struct. Biol.* **78**, 337–352
119. Achard, M. E. S., Hamilton, A. J., Dankowski, T., Heras, B., Schembri, M. S., Edwards, J. L., *et al.* (2009) A periplasmic thioredoxin-like protein plays a role in defense against oxidative stress in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Infect. Immun.* **77**, 4934–4939